# Pixel Recurrent Neural Networks

## OMID RAZIZADEH

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics Novosibirsk State University



Generative image modeling is an unsupervised learning problem.

# **Probablistic Density Models :**

- Image Compression
- Debluring
- Generating of new images,etc

# **Obstacle in Generative modeling :**

To build complex and expressive models that are tractable and scalable. This balance has resulted in a large variety of generative models.



# Stochastic latent variable models such as VAE's :

- Extract meaningful representation
- but not tractable inference

## So What is the best model?

The best approach is to use product of conditional distributions. It is used in models such as NADE.

#### **RNNs**:

Are powerful models that are used for : -Handwriting generation -Character prediction -Machine Translation







Context

# Multi-scale context

Generating an Image Pixel by Pixel :

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n^2} p(x_i | x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$$

$$p(x_{i,R}|\mathbf{x}_{$$

During training and evaluation the distributions over the pixel values are computed in parallel, while the generation of an image is sequential.

## Row LSTM :

- is a unidirectional layer
- process row by row from top to bottom
- computing features for a whole row at once
- computation is performed with a one-dimensional convolution
- $\bullet\,$  kernel has size k  $\times$  1 where k  $\geq$  3



Row LSTM

#### Diagonal BiLSTM :

• capture the entire available context for any image size



# **Residual Connections :**



#### Masked Convolution :

masks can be easily implemented by zeroing out the corresponding weights in the input-to-state convolutions after each update



#### **Evaluation** :

All models are trained and evaluated by log-likelihood loss function coming from discrete distribution not continuous distributions using density function.

#### Performance of different models on MNIST :

Model	NLL Test
DBM 2hl [1]:	$\approx 84.62$
DBN 2hl [2]:	$\approx 84.55$
NADE [3]:	88.33
EoNADE 2hl (128 orderings) [3]:	85.10
EoNADE-5 2hl (128 orderings) [4]:	84.68
DLGM [5]:	$\approx 86.60$
DLGM 8 leapfrog steps [6]:	$\approx 85.51$
DARN 1hl [7]:	$\approx 84.13$
MADE 2hl (32 masks) [8]:	86.64
DRAW [9]:	$\leq 80.97$
PixelCNN:	81.30
Row LSTM:	80.54
Diagonal BiLSTM (1 layer, $h = 32$ ):	80.75
Diagonal BiLSTM (7 layers, $h = 16$ ):	79.20

Table 4. Test set performance of different models on MNIST in *nats* (negative log-likelihood). Prior results taken from [1] (Salakhutdinov & Hinton, 2009), [2] (Murray & Salakhutdinov, 2009), [3] (Uria et al., 2014), [4] (Raiko et al., 2014), [5] (Rezende et al., 2014), [6] (Salimans et al., 2015), [7] (Gregor et al., 2014), [8] (Germain et al., 2015), [9] (Gregor et al., 2015).

#### Performance of different models on CIFAR-10 :

Model	NLL Test (Train)
Uniform Distribution:	8.00
Multivariate Gaussian:	4.70
NICE [1]:	4.48
Deep Diffusion [2]:	4.20
Deep GMMs [3]:	4.00
RIDE [4]:	3.47
PixelCNN:	3.14 (3.08)
Row LSTM:	3.07 (3.00)
Diagonal BiLSTM:	3.00 (2.93)

Table 5. Test set performance of different models on CIFAR-10 in *bits/dim*. For our models we give training performance in brackets. [1] (Dinh et al., 2014), [2] (Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015), [3] (van den Oord & Schrauwen, 2014a), [4] personal communication (Theis & Bethge, 2015).

# **Conclusion** :

- two-dimensional LSTM layers : the Row LSTM and the Diagonal BiLSTM, that scale more easily to larger datasets.
- We employed masked convolutions to allow PixelRNNs to model full dependencies between the color channels.
- PixelRNNs significantly improve the state of the art on the MNIST and CIFAR-10 datasets.
- PixelRNNs are able to model both spatially local and long-range correlations and are able to produce images.that are sharp and coherent.
- More computation and larger models are likely to further improve the results.

#### **References :**

arXiv :1601.06759[Best ICML paper in 2016]